Legal Research Development
An International Refereed e-Journal
ISSN: 2456-3870, Journal home page: http://www.lrdjournal.com
Vol. 09, Issue-I, Sep. 2024

THE HIDDEN DANGERS OF MOBILE RADIATION: EXPLORING ITS IMPACT ON HUMAN HEALTH

Suraj Pratap Singh Kushwah [a, *]
[a]*Advocate, M.P. High Court, LL.B., LL.M. (Gold Medalist), Amity University, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India*

KEYWORDS

Cell phone technology, electromagnetic radiation (EMR), mobile towers, thermal effects, non-thermal effects, DNA damage, neurological disorders, cancer risk, reproductive dysfunctions, public health, children, pregnant women, Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), regulatory oversight, Telegraph Act, Municipalities Act, low-SAR devices, mobile usage, public awareness, health risks, environmental concerns, constitutional rights, Article 21, mobile radiation prevention, policy enforcement.

ABSTRACT

The swift development of cell phone technology in recent years has improved connectivity and convenience, but it also raises serious environmental and health issues. In India, where there are over 440,000 mobile phone towers and 400–500 million cell phone users, the issue of unregulated installations that generate electromagnetic radiation (EMR) is becoming more and more problematic. These radiations, which fall into two distinct groups: thermal and non-thermal effects, have been linked to a number of detrimental health effects, such as increased risk for cancer, neurological problems, and DNA damage. Children, expectant mothers, and those who live close to towers belong to the vulnerable groups that are more at risk from exposure.

In India, research investigations by academic institutions and the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) have linked long-term exposure to EMR to neurological impairments, cellular damage, and reproductive dysfunctions. Despite the data, public awareness is still low and regulatory monitoring is insufficient. Legislative frameworks like as the Telegraph Act and the Municipalities Act mandate tower installation permits; nonetheless, there are still operational gaps.

It is crucial to take preventative measures including utilizing low-SAR devices, reducing mobile usage, and making sure towers are situated far from crowded places. To protect public health and preserve Article 21 constitutional rights to life and dignity, governments must improve public education, impose more stringent laws, and guarantee compliance. The long-term health concerns linked with mobile radiation can be reduced by collaboration among telecom providers, the judiciary, and lawmakers.

1. Introduction

Cell phone technology has become a revolutionary instrument in the modern era, changing connectivity and communication all over, particularly here in India. The explosive growth of cell towers and mobile phones over the past ten years has come to symbolize the digital age. There are currently around 400–500 million cell phone users and about 440,000 cell towers in India alone, and the number is rising at a startling rate. However, there are serious health and environmental dangers as a result of this rapid growth's loose adherence to regulations.

Electromagnetic radiation (EMR) emitted from cell phones and towers, classified into thermal and non-thermal effects, poses severe threats to human health. Thermal effects resemble microwave radiation, while non-thermal effects—though less

Corresponding author
***E-mail:** surajkushwah4903@gmail.com (Suraj Pratap Singh Kushwah). https://orcid.org/0009-0001-8599-1397
DOI: https://doi.org/10.53724/lrd/v9n1.4
Received 08th July 2024; Accepted 20th August 2024
Available online 30th Sep. 2024

defined—are believed to be more harmful, especially for vulnerable groups such as children and pregnant women. Experts estimate that the daily radiation exposure from cell towers is equivalent to being subjected to 19 minutes of microwave heating. Despite these dangers, public awareness remains low, and regulatory enforcement is inadequate, exacerbating the risks to public health and well-being.

Cell phones and towers release electromagnetic radiation (EMR), that has both thermal and non-thermal effects and gravely impacts human health. Non-thermal influence is believed to be more harmful, particularly to vulnerable populations like children and pregnant women, while having less well-defined repercussions. Thermal impacts are similar to microwave radiation. According to experts, the daily radiation exposure from cell towers is roughly the same as getting microwave heating for 19 minutes. Notwithstanding these issues, there is still a lack of public understanding and insufficient enforcement of rules, which worsens the threats to public health and welfare.

The science, health effects, legal frameworks, and preventive measures associated with mobile radiation are looked at in this debate, which highlights the pressing need for thorough regulation, education initiatives, and sustainable innovative practices.

2. Mobile Emission and its Influence on Health and the Environment:

The rapid development of mobile phone technology has radically transformed communication and connectivity on an international level. Around 440,000 cell towers and more than 500 million mobile phone users in India demonstrate how widespread this technology is. However, there is a substantial price for the ease and connectivity: electromagnetic radiation (EMR) released by cell towers and mobile gadgets. Both thermal and non-thermal impacts of this radiation have been connected to serious health hazards as well as environmental problems.[1],[2].

The thermal effects of mobile radiation involve tissue heating, akin to the operation of a microwave oven[3], whereas non-thermal effects, though less understood, can disrupt cellular and molecular functions[4]. Children, pregnant women, and people living near cell towers are particularly vulnerable to these effects due to prolonged exposure[5],[6]. This paper delves into the impacts of mobile radiation on human health, the environment, and the regulatory frameworks addressing these concerns, supported by scientific studies and expert opinions.

3. Comprehending Mobile Radiation:

Electromagnetic Frequency Bands and Radioactivity Towers and cell phones emit electromagnetic radiation (EMR) in specific frequency ranges. The frequency bands used by mobile phones, for instance, include 824–849 MHz (CDMA), 890–915 MHz (GSM 900), and 1710–1780 MHz (GSM 1800) to transmit power ranging from 1 to 2 watts[7]. The introduction of 3G and 4G technologies increased the operating frequencies to 2110–2170 MHz, thereby intensifying exposure levels[8].

4. Thermal and Non-Thermal Effects:

The thermal effects of EMR result in the heating of tissues, similar to how a microwave oven functions[9]. Non-thermal effects, although harder to

quantify, are believed to interfere with cellular communication, leading to oxidative stress, DNA damage, and neurological impairments[10],[11].

5. Health Impacts of Mobile Radiation:

5.1 Neurological Effects:

Mobile radiation has been linked to cognitive impairments, memory loss, and neurological disorders. A study by the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) indicated that prolonged exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) could impair brain function and increase the risk of neurological diseases[12].

5.2 Reproductive Health:

Studies have demonstrated that mobile radiation can reduce sperm count and motility, leading to infertility[13]. ICMR research (2005–2008) revealed DNA damage in sperm cells of rats exposed to EMR, suggesting similar risks in humans[14].

5.3 Cancer Risk:

The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has classified radiofrequency radiation as "possibly carcinogenic to humans" (Group 2B)[15]. Prolonged exposure has been associated with an increased risk of gliomas and acoustic neuromas[16].

5.4 Impact on Children and Pregnant Women:

Children absorb more radiation due to their thinner skulls and developing tissues, making them highly susceptible to EMR effects[17]. Pregnant women exposed to radiation may face risks to fetal development, including potential neurodevelopmental delays[18].

5.5 Environmental Effects: Mobile radiation also affects birds, bees, and plants. According to studies, EMR affects plant growth and photosynthesis, interferes with bee pollination, and interacts with birds' ability to find their way[19],[20].

6. Scientific Studies on Mobile Radiation:

6.1 ICMR Study on Reproductive Effects:

ICMR supported an animal study (2005–2008) that demonstrated oxidative stress and DNA damage in the reproductive systems of male rats exposed to mobile radiation[21].

6.2 Guru Nanak Dev University Research:

Research at Guru Nanak Dev University found significant DNA damage in human lymphocytes due to mobile phone use. This cellular damage may result in cancer and accelerated aging[22],[23].

6.3 GIMER Study Recommendations:

The Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (GIMER), Chandigarh, emphasized limiting mobile phone use to under one hour per day. It recommended hands-free devices to minimize exposure to radiation.[24]

6.4 Ongoing Research by ICMR:

ICMR's study in Delhi focuses on the correlation between mobile radiation and neurological disorders, as well as reproductive dysfunctions. The research underscores the need for public awareness about Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) values[25],[26].

7. Regulatory Frameworks and Challenges:

7.1 Legislative Provisions in India: The Indian Telegraph Act of 1885 regulates the establishment of cell towers. According to Section 10(c) of the Act, telecom providers must obtain permission from local authorities before installing towers. However, implementation gaps and lack of public awareness often lead to unregulated installations in densely populated areas[27],[28].

7.2 Judicial Interventions:

Indian courts have played a crucial role in addressing health concerns related to mobile radiation. For instance, invoking Article 21 of the Constitution, the judiciary has emphasized the right to health and life with dignity, directing authorities to assess health impacts before permitting tower installations[29].

7.3 SAR Limits and Global Standards:

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) measures the rate at which the human body absorbs EMR. India's SAR limit is set at 1.6 W/kg, consistent with international standards. However, awareness about SAR values and safe usage practices remains low among the public[30].

8. Preventive Measures Against Mobile Radiation:

8.1 Public Awareness Campaigns:

Governments should educate citizens about the risks of mobile radiation and encourage safe practices such as:

- Limiting call durations.
- Using low-SAR devices.
- Keeping phones away from the body when not in use[31].

8.2 Technological Advancements:

Promoting hands-free devices, low-power Bluetooth technology, and radiation shielding materials can significantly reduce exposure[32].

8.3 Strict Regulatory Enforcement:

Regulators must enforce guidelines for tower installations, ensuring that towers are located away from schools, hospitals, and residential areas. Periodic audits and penalties for violations are essential for compliance[33].

8.4 Personal Precautions:

Individuals can adopt simple measures to reduce radiation exposure:

- Use speaker mode or wired headsets during calls.
- Avoid using phones in areas with weak signals.
- Limit mobile phone use by children[34].

9. Global Perspective: Case Studies and Comparisons:

Countries like Sweden and Switzerland have implemented stringent radiation norms. For instance, Sweden mandates a minimum distance between cell towers and residential areas[35]. Similarly, Switzerland enforces strict radiation exposure limits for mobile devices[36]. India can adopt such international best practices to enhance its regulatory framework and safeguard public health.

10 Environmental Impact:

Mobile radiation extends its impact to ecosystems. Birds exposed to high EMF levels exhibit disoriented flight patterns and reduced reproductive rates[37]. Bees, crucial for pollination, are significantly affected, leading to declines in population and agricultural productivity[38]. Plants exposed to EMFs show altered growth patterns and reduced photosynthetic efficiency, further disrupting ecological balance[39].

11. Recommendations for Future Action:

1. Strengthening Research:
2. Continuous research on the biological effects of EMR is essential to establish a robust understanding of its long-term implications[40].
3. Policy Reforms

4. Policymakers must revise existing regulations to include stricter guidelines for tower installations, mandatory radiation audits, and public disclosure of SAR values[41].
5. International Collaboration
6. India can benefit from collaborating with countries leading in radiation safety standards to adopt and implement global best practices[42].

12. Research being carried out in India:

12.1 From 2005 to 2008, the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) funded an animal study called "Microwave radiations effects on reproductive systems of male rats" that was directed by Prof. J. Behari of Jawaharlal Nehru University's School of Environmental Sciences in New Delhi. An increase in CAT activity and antioxidative alterations in male reproductive rates were observed. The outcome demonstrated that long-term exposure to these radiations causes sperm cells' double-strand DNA to break. This study also demonstrates that exposure to microwave radiation can result in a statistically significant drop in testicular weight and sperm count[43].

12.2 June 2010 to investigate if cell phone use increases the risk of neurological conditions and reproductive dysfunctions. The power density, wavelength, and frequency of RFR emitted from cell phone towers are all being examined, as is the measurement of specific absorption rate (SAR) from different kinds of cell phones. The clinical and laboratory results will be correlated with these physical characteristics of RFR[44].

12.3 According to research done at Guru Nanak Dev University in Amritsar, using a mobile phone exposes users to radio frequency radiation, which damages their lymphocytes' DNA and chromosomes. This can have long-term effects, such as neoplasia and/or age-related changes[45]. There have been reports of behavioral, neurological, cognitive, and physiological alterations brought on by radiofrequency radiation exposure[46].

12.4 In a research study, PGIMER, Chandigarh, recommended the following requirements for mobile phones' production of risky radioactivity[47]. Utilizing a mobile phone continuously for more than an hour each day is not recommended. When excessive mobile phone use is inevitable, hands-free technology can be used. This includes employing Bluetooth and microphones to keep the device away from the ear and prevent damaging electromagnetic radiation from impacting the brain and ear[48].

13. Legislative laws V/S Judicial power to stop mobile radiation:

The regulation of mobile radiation in India requires the interaction of legislative laws and judicial powers, with each playing a distinct role in ensuring that mobile radiation is controlled in a manner that safeguards public health. These legal provisions are crucial for the effective management and regulation of mobile radiation exposure.

Article 246 and **Entry 31 of List-I of the Seventh Schedule** of the Constitution of India provide the Union Government the exclusive power to legislate on telecommunications, which includes regulating mobile radiation and the installation of mobile towers. This empowers the central government to enact laws concerning mobile infrastructure and radiation control[49]. However, **Article 254** and **Article 73** of the Constitution highlight the manner in which the executive power of the Union is

exercised, thereby guiding the implementation of laws passed by Parliament. These provisions clarify the executive's role in controlling radiation levels emitted by mobile towers and the operation of mobile devices, particularly in relation to public health concerns[50].

Article 77 of the Constitution stipulates that all executive actions of the Government of India must be undertaken in the name of the President, ensuring that executive actions related to radiation control are legitimate and follow due process. In contrast, **Articles 141 and 142** grant the Supreme Court the authority to issue binding directions, which may be used to address the public health risks posed by mobile radiation. However, the powers under **Article 226** are different, as High Courts are authorized to issue writs but are not empowered to direct State Governments to formulate specific policies[51].

The **Indian Telegraph Act, 1885** governs the installation of telecommunication infrastructure, including mobile towers. According to **Section 10(c)** of the Act, the telegraph authority must seek permission from the local authorities before exercising its powers over properties managed by them. This ensures that local bodies, such as municipal corporations, have the authority to regulate the installation of mobile towers and mitigate any potential health hazards associated with radiation exposure[52]. Furthermore, the **Municipalities Act, 2009** gives local authorities the power to regulate construction activities within their jurisdiction, ensuring that mobile towers are installed in compliance with safety and environmental standards[53].

Additionally, the **Department of Telecommunications** has issued guidelines requiring telecom service providers to obtain necessary permissions from local bodies before erecting mobile towers. These guidelines aim to ensure that mobile tower installations are safe and that radiation levels do not exceed the permissible limits[54].

14. Mobile Radiation Protection Measures:

For safe use, the following data should be given in the mobile handset booklet:

(1). To reduce radiation to the head, use a wireless hands-free device (headphone, headset) with a low power Bluetooth emitter[55].

(2). Check for a cell phone with a low SAR when buying it[56].

(3). Use messages sent via text (SMS) or short conversations over the phone[57].

(4). Use your cell phone only when there is a strong signal, if at all possible[58].

(5). Mobile phones should at times be kept at least 30 centimeters away from those who have active medical implants[59].

(6). To make sure that a mobile phone receives an intense signal and transmits at a lower level, use it outside rather than inside a car[60].

(7). Using a regular corded phone instead of a mobile one[61].

(8). Maintain a distance: Keep the cell phone as far away from the body as possible[62].

(9). Keep the phone away from your head by wearing a headset, either wired or Bluetooth[63].

(10). Avoid holding the phone handset to your head. Being very close to the source boosts energy absorption significantly since radio

frequency (RF) energy is inversely proportional to the square of the distance from the source[64].

(11). Set a time limit for mobile calls[65].

(12). Whenever feasible, use text rather than speech[66].

(13). Switch the mobile device to speaker mode[67].

(14). A mobile phone will boost its transmission power if the radio signal is weak. Locate a strong signal and stay put. Make use of your phone in an area with high coverage[68].

(15). Avoid using a cell phone when wearing metal-framed glasses or when your hair is wet because metal and water are good radio wave conductors[69].

(16). Before placing the phone on your ear or beginning to speak and listen, wait for the call to connect. A mobile phone establishes a connection at a higher power level before lowering it to a suitable level. When the call is connecting, more power is radiated[70].

(17). Use a landline (wired) phone instead of a cell phone if at all possible[71].

(18). Avoid carrying your phone in your jeans pocket or on your chest. Every minute or two, a mobile phone that is turned on automatically sends a high-power transmission to check (poll) the network Kumar, R. (2014). *Radiofrequency Polling and its Effects*.

(19). Limit children's use of cell phones because younger people are more likely to be exposed to cell phone radiation throughout their lives[72].

(20). Cell phones should ideally be kept at least 15 cm away from those who have active medical implants[73].

15. Conclusion:

Although mobile phone technology has revolutionized communication, it is impossible to overlook the risks that come with it. Governments, regulatory agencies, and individuals must act quickly to address the detrimental impacts of mobile radiation on the environment and human health. The hazards can be successfully reduced with the help of technology developments, legislative changes, and public education.

The difficulty is striking a balance between the advantages of mobile technology and the requirement to safeguard the environment and public health. Stakeholder cooperation can guarantee that advancements in technology do not jeopardize ecological integrity or human well-being. Addressing the dangers of mobile radiation proactively is necessary to uphold Article 21's constitutional right to health and dignity.

Endnote

[1] Guru Nanak Dev University, "DNA Damage and Mobile Radiation," 2007.

[2] International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), WHO Classification of Radiofrequency Radiation, 2011.

[3] Environmental Impact Studies on EMR and Biodiversity, Global Reports, 2015.

[4] Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (GIMER), Chandigarh, Recommendations on Mobile Radiation, 2010.

[5] IARC, "Mobile Phones and Cancer Risk," WHO, 2011.

[6] Gandhi, G., and Singh, A., "Impact of Mobile Radiation on Children," 2010.

[7] Department of Telecommunications (DoT), "Guidelines on EMR from Mobile Towers," 2013.

[8] International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), WHO Classification of Radiofrequency Radiation, 2011.

[9] Gandhi, G., & Anita, B., "Effects of Mobile Radiation on Biological Systems," Guru Nanak Dev University, 2007.

[10] Behari, J., "Microwave Radiation Effects on Biological Systems," Jawaharlal Nehru University, 2008.

[11] International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), WHO Classification of Radiofrequency Radiation, 2011.

[12] Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), Study on Neurological Impacts of EMF Exposure, 2010.

[13] Gandhi, G., & Anita, B., "Effects of Mobile Radiation on Reproductive Systems," Guru Nanak Dev University, 2007.

[14] Behari, J., "Microwave Radiation Effects on Reproductive Health," Jawaharlal Nehru University, 2008.

[15] International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), WHO Classification of Radiofrequency Radiation, 2011.
[16] Hardell, L., Carlberg, M., & Hansson Mild, K., "Mobile Phone Use and Brain Tumor Risk," International Journal of Oncology, 2009.
[17] Gandhi, G., & Anita, B., "Vulnerability of Children to Mobile Radiation," Guru Nanak Dev University, 2007.
[18] BioInitiative Report (2012), "Fetal Development and EMR Exposure," BioInitiative Working Group.
[19] Balmori, A., "Mobile Phone Radiation Effects on Wildlife," Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine, 2009.
[20] Sharma, V. P., & Kumar, N. R., "Mobile Phone Radiation and Its Impact on Bees," Current Science, 2010.
[21] Behari, J., "Microwave Radiation Effects on Reproductive Health," Jawaharlal Nehru University, 2008.
[22] Gandhi, G., & Anita, B., "Effects of Mobile Radiation on Human Lymphocytes," Guru Nanak Dev University,2007.
[23] Hardell, L., Carlberg, M., & Hansson Mild, K., "Mobile Phone Use and Cellular Damage," International Journal of Oncology, 2009.
[24] Panda, S. P., "GIMER Study on Mobile Radiation," Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, 2010.
[25] Indian Council of Medical Research, "Study on Neurological and Reproductive Effects of EMR," ICMR, Delhi, 2010.
[26] Sharma, N., "Research by ICMR on Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)," ICMR Journal, 2012.
[27] Indian Telegraph Act, 1885, Section 10(c), Government of India.
[28] Department of Telecommunications, "Guidelines on Installation of Cell Towers," Ministry of Communications, 2010.
[29] Indian Telegraph Act, 1885, Section 10(c), Government of India.
[30] International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP), "SAR Limits for Mobile Devices," 2010.
[31] Government of India, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, "Public Awareness on Mobile Radiation," 2015.
[32] Johnson, M., "Technological Advancements in Reducing Mobile Radiation Exposure," Journal of Technology and Health, 2017.
[33] Department of Telecommunications, "Regulatory Guidelines for Cell Tower Installation," Ministry of Communications, 2018.
[34] WHO, "Personal Precautions for Reducing Mobile Phone Radiation Exposure," World Health Organization, 2017.
[35] Swedish Radiation Safety Authority, "Regulations for Radiation Protection in Sweden," 2016.
[36] Swiss Federal Office for the Environment, "Mobile Phone Radiation Safety Standards," 2015.
[37] The Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, "Effects of Electromagnetic Fields on Birds," 2018.
[38] The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), "Impact of Electromagnetic Radiation on Bee Populations," 2017.
[39] Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), "Studies on the Effects of EMF Exposure on Plants," 2019.
[40] National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), "Research on Long-Term Biological Effects of EMR," 2020.
[41] Department of Telecommunications, Government of India, "Revised Guidelines for Mobile Tower Installations," 2018.
[42] International Telecommunication Union (ITU), "Global Collaboration on Radiation Safety Standards," 2019.
[43] Behari, J., & ICMR. "Microwave Radiations Effects on Reproductive Systems of Male Rats," School of Environmental Sciences, Jawaharlal Nehru University, 2008.
[44] Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), Research on Neurological and Reproductive Effects of Cell Phone Usage, June 2010
[45] Gandhi, G., & Anita. (2007). Study on DNA and chromosomal damage due to mobile phone radiation, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar.
[46] Gandhi, G., et al. (2005). Research on behavioral and physiological alterations caused by radiofrequency radiation exposure.
[47] Panda et al. (2010). Study on mobile phone radiation and its health impacts, PGIMER, Chandigarh.
[48] Recommendations from the PGIMER study on mitigating electromagnetic radiation exposure through safe mobile phone usage practices.
[49] Sharma, R. (2017). *Constitutional Law of India*. LexisNexis.
[50] Patel, P. (2020). *Telecommunications Law and Policy in India*. SAGE Publications.
[51] Verma, N. (2016). *The Indian Telegraph Act: Regulatory Framework*. University Press.
[52] Mehta, A., & Dube, R. (2018). *Telecom Regulations and Their Impact on Public Health*. New Delhi: National Press.
[53] Sharma, R. (2017). *Constitutional Law of India*. LexisNexis.
[54] Patel, P. (2020). *Telecommunications Law and Policy in India*. SAGE Publications.
[55] Gandhi, G., & Anita (2007). *Health impacts of mobile phone radiation*. Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar.
[56] WHO. (2011). *Radiofrequency electromagnetic fields and health effects*. World Health Organization.
[57] ICMR. (2010). *Research on Neurological and Reproductive Effects of Cell Phone Usage*.
[58] Panda, S., et al. (2010). *Mobile phone radiation guidelines*. PGIMER, Chandigarh.
[59] Gandhi, G. (2005). *Behavioral and physiological impacts of mobile radiation*.
[60] Kumar, R. (2014). *Mobile Phone Safety Measures*. Journal of Health and Communication, 12(3).
[61] Sharma, V. (2012). *Comparison of wired and wireless communication for health*. Thesis, IIT Kanpur.
[62] Gandhi, G., et al. (2008). *Electromagnetic radiation and safety protocols*.
[63] WHO and ITU. (2013). *Global radiation exposure standards*.
[64] Mishra, P. (2010). *Radiation Absorption Studies*. International Journal of Radiobiology.
[65] Singh, A. (2015). *Cell phone usage and health risks*. Article in *Health Today*.
[66] Gupta, M. (2016). *Reducing cell phone radiation exposure: Practical tips*.
[67] Gandhi, G. (2007). *Mobile Phones and Electromagnetic Fields*. Research Paper.
[68] WHO. (2005). *Signal Strength and Radiation Levels: A*

Guide.
[69] ICMR. (2012). *Best Practices for Mobile Phone Usage*.
[70] Panda, S., et al. (2010). *Safe Mobile Communication Practices*.
[71] Sharma, V. (2012). *Wired Communication in the Modern Era*.
[72] Gandhi, G., & Anita (2007). *Youth Vulnerability to Mobile Radiation*.
[73] WHO. (2011). *Medical Implants and Mobile Radiation Risks*.

****